

Faculty Development Committee Report 2020-2021
May 1, 2022

1. The Faculty Development Committee (FDC) was made up of the following 15 members:
MEMBERSHIP 2021-22

Co-Chairs: Daniel Taylor-Rodriguez, Mary Oschwald

Name	Division (Department)	Start of Service
Godlove, Rachael	SPH	2021
Beer, John	CLAS-AL (ENG)	2020
Malhotra, Neera	OI (UNST)	2021
Jayne, Kimberly	COE (COUN)	2021
Gildersleeve-Neumann, Christina	CLAS-SS (SPHR)	2019
Goodman, Anna	COTA (ARCH)	2021
Oschwald, Mary	SSW	2021
Lewandowski, Marie	AO (OAI)	2020
Mirpuri, Anoop	CLAS-AL (ENG)	2020
Parsons, Madelyn	SB	2021
Taylor Rodriguez, Daniel	CLAS-Sci (MTH)	2020
Tunalilar, Ozcan	CUPA (IOA)	2019
Wang, Jian	LIB	2019
Holtzman, Melinda	MCECS (ECE)	2021
Yang, Liu-Qin	CLAS-SS (PSY)	2020

2. The FDC developed a sub-group working process this year to help move along the work and offer a more hands-on small group approach to the phases of the work.

A. Sub Group 1: (November) Reviewed the call and website materials for updates.

B. Sub Group 2: (November) Reviewed and updated the rubric to be added to the call. The call went out over December break.

C. Sub Group 3: (January) Reviewed the scoring rubric and developed a process for reviewer scoring to augment validity and reliability in scoring.

D. Committee of the Whole: Reviewed and scored proposals against the rubric.

E. Sub Group 4: (April) Reviewed the final scores and developed 2 approaches to final decision-making scoring criteria. Presented at the final whole committee meeting, during which time it was decided that proposals that had large discrepancies across initial review scores would be re-reviewed to assess the inconsistencies for a possible rescoring (or not).

F. Sub Group 4 incorporated the revised scores and the co-chair team ranked proposals based on total scores. A suitable cut-off was found at the \$674,102 cumulative dollar amount for the top-scored/ ranked set of 51 proposals.

G. Final decisions were completed by the co-chair team and shared with the entire committee through a sign-off google form for documentation purposes.

H. 60 proposals were submitted; 51 proposals were accepted for funding at a total of \$674,102. The list of all PIs, those who did and did not receive funding, was sent to Sally Braukmiller who sent letters to recipients and non-recipients.